

1. The 'Action Project' (the application for the broiler chicken farm) contains several discrepancies and shortcomings as detailed below:

- A) House owners would be sited within 500m of the proposed farm.
- B) The integrated environmental authorization for this project would be for 85,000 broiler chickens, far in excess of a broiler house of only 2000m<sup>2</sup>.
- C) The report is limited to a brief description of the area and boundaries, indicating only 3500m<sup>2</sup> of plot 132 would be used, of which 2000m<sup>2</sup> would be the fattening house. There is no mention of the chicken capacity, annual production or offspring.
- D) No data regarding the traffic activity, or the type of traffic that this project will bring.
- E) There is sufficient doubt about the ownership of the land on which the project will be built. The promoter of the project appears as one name, but the technical report is signed as a company name.

2. Regarding the buildings, works and facilities, there is no mention of :

- A) The height of the building to be used for fattening the chickens.
- B) Whether silos are needed to supply chicken feed, or a water tank is required.
- C) The location of the storage of dead chicken bodies as required by law.
- D) Fattening of chickens requires a Management plan stating where the manure will be stored and disposed of. There is no Management plan.

3. Basic Supplies and Services (electricity/ water etc) - missing data :

- A) Details of basic services in the area and their distance which are necessary for the project.
- B) Necessary costs of adapting or increasing basic supplies to the plot to carry out the project.

4. Social Interest :

A) The project states it will provide environmental sustainability, environmental friendly facilities, create stable employment and generate employment, but without quantifying how this will actually be achieved.

B) The project mentions a need to obtain an 'Integrated Environmental Authorisation.' This implies a greater environmental impact and talks about measures regarding dust, noise and other effluents, which leads to the belief that this will be a 'day and night' operation.

5. There has been no economic and financial feasibility study of the land.

6. Farm Access :

A) There is no clarification of which would be the main access, or any works that need undertaking to ensure that the heavy vehicles can reach the farm.

B) The project states that the road access is 'well connected by road access, asphalt, etc., so with these facilities the quality of the services provided to citizens will be improved'. The roads, although improved in 2017, have a maximum legal weight limit of 3.5 tonnes, which is well below the weight of the heavy traffic that will serve the farm.

C) Regarding access from the north, there are only two options, both of which are unfeasible. One is padlocked and the other is, in effect, a dog walking track.

7. Impact on the local residents:

A) 16 homes are within 500m of the proposed farm. The residents are mostly of a retired age and are extremely worried about health, social and economic impact.

8. The Partaloa Council Technical Report on the proposed chicken farm was submitted on 10<sup>th</sup> December 2020:

A) Section 5.2 stated that, 'no new buildings are required', which does not match the details of the project.